# Insecticidal Potential of *Beauveria bassiana* Strain PDRL1187 and Imidacloprid to Mustard Aphid (*Lipaphis erysimi*) Under Field Conditions

## Aziz Ahmed Ujjan<sup>\*</sup> and Saleem Shahzad

Pest and Disease Research Laboratory (PDRL), Department of Agriculture & Agribusiness Management, University of Karachi, Karachi-75270

**Abstract.-** We studied the insecticidal potential of the Hypocreales entomopathogenic fungal (EPF) strain of *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.-Criv) Vuill., and insecticide Imidacloprid to mustard aphid (MA) at field conditions. The *Beauveria bassiana* strain PDRL1187 caused 50% mortality ( $LT_{50}$  value = median lethal time) of adult MA population after 6.4 days, when sprayed on insect population  $6.3 \times 10^{12}$  spores per acre. The insecticide, 10 g per acre, caused the insect mortality with  $LT_{50}$  value 3.2 days. Along with the pest mortality, the seed yield of mustard plant (canola), increased with application of EPF and insecticide, at field trials. The study confirmed that strain is effective against mustard aphid under field conditions.

Key words: Entomopathogenic fungi, Canola (Brassica napus) and mycoinsecticides.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Mustard aphid, Lipaphis erysimi Kalt., (Homoptera: Aphididae), is the important insect pest of mustard plants. It feeds through phloem and transmits plant pathogens. Mustard oil crops are its favorite host. Infested plants limit their flowering. growth and even die (Singhvi et al., 1973). Insecticides are rapid remedy to economic losses in developing countries like Pakistan (Razag et al., 2011). World without pesticides would loss 78% of fruits, 54% of vegetables and 32% of cereal crops (Cai et al., 2009). Pesticides are notorious for hazards to the environment. Therefore, the health concerns demand food free from synthetic insecticide. Development of pest resistance to pesticides has forced the farmers to use extensive doses of insecticide, which is worldwide concern in term of pesticide residue (Pimental et al., 1992). Organic farming copes with alternatives to insecticides by using pest and disease resistant varieties, bio-pesticides, cultural practices, and judicial use of insecticides or altogether (Aktar et al., 2010); since the field of biological control of field crops. serves insect pest issues Entomopathogenic fungi are contemporary to the groups of bioinsecticides, predators, and parasitoids. The genera Beauveria has pivotal place in mycoinsecticides. Beauveria Vuill. (Bals.) (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) is cosmopolitan genus. It is a significant broad spectrum entomopathogenic fungus (Bassi 1836; Vago, 1963; Steinhaus, 1949; Ferron, 1978; McCoy et al., 1990; Feng et al., 1991; Brand et al., 2012); its pathogenicity was also reported against various aphid species (Akmal et al., 2013). It is one of the most important mycoinsecticidal agent registered in the world. The B. bassiana is broad-spectrum insect pathogen (Faria and Wraight, 2007).

The *B. bassiana* strain PDRL1147 reported for insecticidal efficiency to mustard aphid (*L. erysimi*) under laboratory and screen house conditions (Ujjan and Shahzad, 2012). The team followed the same lines and studied the mycoinsecticidal potential of the strain under field conditions. A compatible insecticide Imidacloprid (Ujjan, 2013) in a formulation with the fungal spores, sprayed for its synergized toxicity to mustard aphid was also studied.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The strain PDRL1187 of *B. bassiana* was received from abroad, Wahat Al Sehra Nurseries Desert Group Dubai, UAE. The fungal culture regularly maintained and revived on mycological

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence author: <u>ujjjann@gmail.com</u> 0030-9923/2014/0005-1277 \$ 8.00/0 Copyright 2014 Zoological Society of Pakistan

media according to the line suggested by Goettel and Inglis (1997). The test crop canola (Brassica napus L.) was cultivated in blocks of 6x12 feet for each test. The block-to-block distance was 10 ft. The soil and seedbed was prepared according to agronomic protocol and procedures (Anonymous, 2009) at the agronomic field of Department of Agriculture and Agribusiness Management, University of Karachi. After sowing, 30 seedlings were maintained in each block with 1.2 ft between seedlings and 2 ft between rows by thinning excessive plants after 28 day. The plants were artificially infested with 05 wingless female aphids per plant before 15 days of spray treatments. Each block sprayed with fungal spores using low volume sprayer of 06L capacity. Separate hands carry with spray machines  $< 200 \mu$  pores size used as prevention of cross contamination. A plot was applied with the insecticide formulation (10 g a.i. +100 L water/acre or 16.5 mg +1.65 L/plot). Another plot was sprayed with spore  $(6.30 \times 10^{12})$ spores+100 L water/acre or 1.0x10<sup>10</sup> spores +1.65 L/plot) of EPF spores formulation in aqueous solution of 0.2% Tween 20. Third plot was sprayed with the aforementioned spore + insecticide combination (16.5 mg +  $1.0 \times 10^{10}$  spores/plot) in 1.65 L aqueous solution of 0.2% Tween 20. A plot sprayed with the same volume of sterilized aqueous solution of 0.02% Tween80 as control. The numbers of insects in the population was randomly counted on apical racemes of five plants in each block before spray (day 1) and after 05, 10, 20 and 30 days. The differences in populations recorded between control and treatments. Percent mortality was corrected by using a formula (Henderson and Tilton, 1955). The seed yield of each plant compared to control treatments. The median lethal time  $(LT_{50})$  analyzed by using probit analysis on IBM-SPSS 19 as keeping constant dose and variable times (days).

The died insects were taken to the laboratory and inoculated on PDA plates after surface sterilization with 1% sodium-hypochlorite aqueous solution, and confirmed the *B. bassiana* sporulations. The insect that was grown over by the fungi during incubation was studied for scanning electron microscopy. The insect was fixed over night at 4°C with 2% (v/v) glutaraldehyde, 2% (v/v) paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer at pH 7.2. Post-fixation was carried out in 1% (w/v) osmium tetroxide in the same buffer. The specimens were rinsed in buffer, dehydrated in a series of 30-100% alchohal solutions, dried at the critical point in CO<sub>2</sub> and coated with gold in a sputter-coater and observed under scanning electron-microscope at central science laboratory, University of Karachi.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Strain PDRL1187 *B. bassiana* treatment caused 50% mortality of mustard aphid after 6.4 days ( $LT_{50}$ ) under field conditions (Table I). The mortality caused by the strain was lower at field than greenhouse bioassays (Ujjan, 2013). During greenhouse bioassay, the  $LT_{50}$  was 4.0 days. At field, conditions the canola seed yield was 10.2g as it was higher 12.0g per plant recorded at green house bioassay (Fig. 1) (Ujjan, 2013).



Fig. 1. Yield of canola seed per plant after spray of the Imidacloprid(10 g a.i. per acre +100 L water) and the *Beauveria bassiana* strain PDRL 1187 alone or in combination with spore formulation ( $6.3 \times 10^{12}$  spores /acre+100 L water) at final harvest (24 December to 15 March, 2011).

Imidacloprid caused 50% mortality of mustard aphid after ( $LT_{50}$ ) 3.2 days (Table I). The combined application showed additive effects and increased insect mortality by lowering  $LT_{50}$  value 2.69 days. The combined application of Imidacloprid

Table I	The median lethal time (LT <sub>50</sub> ) value of <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> strain PDRL1187 applied with (6.30x10 <sup>12</sup> per	acre) or
	in combination of Imidacloprid (10 g a.i. per acre) in the field trials on mustard aphid.	

Strains	Life stages	LT <sub>50</sub> (days)	95% Co Lower	nf. Limit Upper	Estimate- intercept	Z-intercept	Chi <sup>2</sup> (df <sup>a</sup> )
PDRL1187	Adults	6.497	2.536	12.500	0.9-0.8	18.1-13.9	564.6(23)*
Imidacloprid	Adults	3.222	1.401	5.287	1.6-0.8	26.5-13.6	661.0(23)*
PDRL1187 + Imidacloprid	Adults	2.809	1.311	4.523	2.0-0.9	29.8-14.6	785.0(23)*

<sup>a</sup> Statistics based on individual cases differ from statistics based on aggregated cases.

\* Since the significance level is less than 0.150, a heterogeneity factor is used in the calculation of confidence limits.



Fig. 2. The scanning electron micrograph shows the *B. bassiana* hyphal growth on the treated insect's body.

and B. bassiana strain reported by Parmar and Kapadia (2007), therein the additive effects in mustard aphid mortality was absent. The insect dead bodies from field transferred to incubation chambers for hyphal growth and sporulations of the EPF B. bassiana strain PDRL 1187, and the microscopic examination confirmed the pathogenesis of the B. bassiana strain (Fig. 2). The alone application of the insecticide promoted the highest yield 15.6 g seeds per plant among treatments. The synergistic treatment showed median yield (14.8 g) between the single treatments of the EPF (10.6 g) and the insecticide (15.6 g). The yield showed weak additive effects of the insecticide and B. bassiana strain PDRL 1187 EPF in terms of plant protection as compare to control treatments 8.2 g/plant (Fig. 1). It was perceived that the combined application of the insecticide and EPF spores might boost the plant yield as these controlled the insect population in the experiment. However, the results were unexpected

in canola seed yield (Fig. 1).

During preliminary investigation, the strain PDRL1187 found effective with higher insecticidal attributes to mustard aphid. It assured the virulence *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions (Ujjan and Shahzad, 2012).

The Beauveria bassiana, strain PDRL1187, bioassay on mustard aphid at field conditions confirmed its presence of mycoinsecticide potential. The strain's virulence varied between field and green house bioassays. Insecticide Imidacloprid found more effective than EPF strains when applied as the single doses at recommended rate. The insecticide 10 g a.i. mixed with EPF strains  $6.3 \times 10^{12}$ spores/acre, suspension caused synergistic effects and increased mortality of mustard aphid population (Table I). Rawat et al. (2008) reported a B. bassiana strain induced 48% mortality after 3 days of mustard aphid population under field conditions; which is consistent with our study. Filho et al. (2011) reported a B. bassiana strain and Imidacloprid spray regimes controlled 50 and 100% population after 14 days, respectively against Myzus persicae on cabbage; it supports our study. When the insecticide Imidacloprid (50 mL a.i./acre) was applied it reduced 96.1% mustard aphid population after 7 days (Rana et al., 2007). In other report, the dose of 24 g a.i./acre of Imidacloprid was 86% toxic to mustard aphid (L. erysimi) on canola (B. napus) after 7 days (Devee and Bruah, 2012). Aslam and Munir (2001) reported that Imidacloprid 8 g a.i. /acre dose reduced the aphid (L. erysimi) population by 89.2% after 10 days. During the present study, Imidacloprid 10g /acre caused 89.9% mortality after 10 day, which showed a similarity of insecticide efficacy as reported by Aslam and Munir (2001); Parmar and Kapadia (2007). Combined use of the

EPF strain with Imidacloprid gave increase in plant seed yield as compared to control (Fig. 1).

Hence, our study confirms that the EPF strain has efficient virulence against the mustard aphid. The strain has no lethal effect on host plant (*B. napus*). The spores of the strain are utilizable to the mustard aphid with combination of insecticide Imidacloprid. Therefore, the strain (*B. bassiana* PDRL1187) has mycoinsecticidal potential and needs further probes for biological control strategies in sustainable agriculture practices.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Wahat Al Sehra Nurseries Desert Group Dubai, UAE, kindly provided the exotic strain used during the present studies that is gratefully acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

- AKMAL, M., FREED, S., MALIK, M.N. AND GUL, H.T., 2013. Efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* (Deuteromycotina: Hypomycetes) against different aphid species under laboratory conditions. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **45**: 71-78.
- AKTAR, M.W., SENGUPTA, D., PURKAIT, S. AND CHOWDHURY, A., 2010. Risk assessment and decontamination of quinalphos under different culinary processes in/on cabbage. *Env. Mon. Asses.*, 163:369-377.
- ANONYMOUS. 2009. 'Kapas Ki Kasht' Cultivation of Cotton, Pakistan Agriculture Research Council, Government of Pakistan, available online at http://old.parc.gov.pk/Urdu/Urdu-Material/Crops M/CottonCultiv/CottonCultiv.htm
- ASLAM, M. AND MUNIR, A., 2001. Effectiveness of some insecticides against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt.) (Aphididae: Homoptera) on three different crops. J. Res. Sci., **12**: 19-25.
- BASSI, A., 1836. Del mal delsegno e dialtremalattie dei bachi da seta. Parte seconda. Practica. *Tipografia orcesi, Lodi*, 58.
- BRAND, D., RODRIGUES, C., VANDENBERGHE, L.P.S., MEDEIROS, A.B.P., FERNÁNDEZ, D.E.R., HALFEN, G.E., SILVA, A.L.L., COSTA, J.L., SOCCOL, V.T. AND SOCCOL, C.R., 2012. Fungi application as biological control agents in agriculture and animal husbandry. In: *Biocontrol: Management, processes and challenges* (eds. S.K. Brar), Nova Science Publishers, Inc. Hauppauge, EUA. pp. 171-193.

- CAI, Q.N., MA, X.M., ZHAO, X., CAO, Y.Z. AND YANG, X.Q., 2009. Effects of host plant resistance on insect pests and its parasitoid: A case study of wheat-aphid– parasitoid system. *Biol. Cont.*, **49**:134-138.
- DEVEE, A. AND BARUAH, A.A.L.H., 2012. Bio-efficacy of Imidacloprid and bifenthrin against mustard aphid (*Lipaphiserysimi*) on *Brassica rapa* subspoleifera. *Indian J. agric. Sci.*, 82: 845–51.
- FARIA, M.R.D. AND WRAIGHT, S.P., 2007. Mycoinsecticides and mycoacaricides: a comprehensive list with worldwide coverage and international classification of formulation types. *Biol. Cont.*, 43: 237-256.
- FENG, M.G., JOHNSON, J.B. AND HALBERT, S.E., 1991. Natural control of cereal aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae) by entomopathogenic fungi (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) and parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Braconidae and Encyrtidae) on irrigated spring wheat in southwestern Idaho. *Environ. Ent.*, **20**: 1699-1710.
- FERRON, P., 1978. Biological control of insect pests by entomogenous fungi. *Annu. Rev. Ent.*, 23: 409-442.
- FILHO, M.M., OLIVEIRA, S.O.D., DE LIZ, R.S. AND FARIA., M., 2011. Cage and field assessments of *Beauveriabassiana*-based Mycoinsecticides for *Myzuspersicae*Sulzer (Hemiptera: Aphididae) control in cabbage. *Neotrop. Ent.*, **40**: 470-476.
- GOETTEL, M.S. AND INGLIS, G.D., 1997. Fungi: Hyphomycetes. In: Manual of techniques in insect pathology (ed. L.A. Lacey), Academic Press, London, pp. 5-3.
- HENDERSON, C.F. AND TILTON, W.W., 1955. Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. econ. Ent., 48: 157-161.
- MCCOY, C.W., BAKER, R.R. AND DUNN, P.E., 1990. Entomogenousfungi as microbial pesticides. In: New directions in biological control.Alternatives for suppressing agricultural pests and diseases, Proceedings of a UCLA Colloquium held at Frisco, Colorado, R. L. Alan Inc, pp. 139-159.
- PARMAR, G. M. AND KAPADIA, M. N., 2007. Field Efficacy of Mycoinsecticides and chemical insecticides against *Lipaphis erysimi* in mustard. *Indian J. Pl. Protect.*, 35: 339-341.
- PIMENTEL, D., ACQUAY, H., BILTONEN, M., RICE, P., SILVA, M., NELSON, J. AND D'AMORE, M., 1992. *Environmental and economic costs of pesticide use*, Bioscience-Washington 42: 750-750.
- RANA, Z.A., SHAHZAD, M.A., MALIK, N.A. AND SALEEM, A., 2007. Efficacy of different insecticides and DC-Tron plus against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis* erysimi (Kalt). J. Agric. Res., 45: 221-224.
- RAWAT, P.R., GC, Y.D., THAPA, R.B. AND RIJAL, J.P., 2008. Evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi with other pesticides against mustard aphid *Lipaphiserysimi*(Kalt.) under field condition of Chitwan, Nepal. *Proceedings of*

Workshop. Plant Protection Society of Nepal.

- RAZAQ, M.A., ASLAM, M., ISMAIL, M., AFZAL, M. AND SHAD, S.A., 2011. Losses in yield and yield components caused by aphids to late sown *Brassica napus* L., *Brassica juncea* L. and *Brassica carrinata* A. Braun at Multan, Punjab (Pakistan). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43:319-324.
- SINGHVI, S.M., VERMA, N.D. AND YADAVA, T.P., 1973. Estimation of losses in rapeseed (*B. compestris* L. var. toria) and mustard (*B. juncea* Cross) due to mustard aphid (*L. erysimi*). *Haryana Agric. Univ. J. Res.*, 3:5-7.
- STEINHAUS, E.A., 1949. Principles of insect pathology, McGraw-Hill, New York, pp. 757.
- UJJAN, A.A., 2013. Use of entomopathogenic fungi in biological control of cotton mealybug

(Phenacoccussolenopsis) and mustard aphid (Lipaphiserysimi). Ph.D. thesis. University of Karachi, Pakistan.

- UJJAN, A.A. AND SHAHZAD, S., 2012. Use of entomopathogenic fungi for the control of mustard aphid (*Lipaphiserysimi*) on canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, **44:** 2081-2086.
- VAGO, C., 1963. Predispositions and interrelations in insect diseases. In: *Insect pathology an advanced treatise* (ed. E.A. Steinhaus), Academic Press, New York, pp. 339-379.

(Received 15 April 2014, revised 5 June 2014)